



unconstitutional sacking of one of my constituent MHKs

1 message

Graeme Jones <jonesiom@gmail.com>

Tue, 13 Dec 2022 at 12:26

To: Watterson, Juan (SHK) <juan.watterson@gov.im>, Caine, Daphne (MHK) <daphne.caine@gov.im>, tim.crookall@gov.im, bill.henderson@parliament.org.im, Michelle.Haywood@gov.im, rob.mercer@gov.im, Skelly, Laurence (MHK) <laurence.skelly@gov.im>

Members of the Tynwald Standards and Members Interests Committee

Please confirm that the following latest private evidence of iniquitous legal opinion and the cover up culture supported by legal mercenaries in HM AG chambers will be included in the recently opened investigation and, if not, what I need to do to guarantee that it is properly considered and referenced in a public document.

I remind you of my desire to avoid another cover up of reported wrongdoing with another entirely unacceptable "insufficient evidence to warrant further action" grievance outcome despite only either "evidence to warrant further action" or "no evidence to warrant further action" options available and presumably the same breaches of human rights with a lack of any right to review and dispute omitted and false evidence when the respondent has fully considered the complainant evidence and with a lack of any right of appeal as per my employment dispute simply for reporting wrongdoing and then repeatedly refusing to turn a blind eye as directed by the then Clerk of Tynwald, the Deputy Clerk of Tynwald, Third Clerk and my line manager.

A copy of the iniquitous legal opinion is a pre-requisite of any meaningful investigation.

Iniquitous legal opinions automatically lose legal privilege (despite a recent outrageous false claim by HM AG chambers that the iniquitous legal principle did not apply in the Isle of Man that I was forced to prove with a citation of a High Court case notwithstanding written admission from General Registry that special programmatic techniques have been installed by Cabinet Office GTS to stop litigants in person searching and identifying the most relevant previous judgments) and nonetheless the Minister is legally the client department and fully able to waive legal privilege to expose an epicentre of corruption.

The Chief Minister assured voters that corporate governance issues would get better but the evidence below and other recent evidence proves it is getting worse not better.

Stop the corruption.

Yours truthfully,
Graeme Jones
former employee and victim of corruption

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Halliday, Samuel <Samuel.Halliday@gov.im>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]